

Message

From: Cirian, Mike [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=98D0FD2CBB3F4F25B819C6929E18D08D-CIRIAN, MIKE]
Sent: 5/8/2015 4:55:07 PM
To: Parker, Robert [Parker.Robert@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Conversation re: CFAC with Virginia Sloan, Sen Tester's office

Hey Rob, Give me a call when you get a chance.

Mike

Mike Cirian, PE
Libby On-site Project Manager
US EPA
108 East 9th Street
Libby, MT 59923
(406) 293-6194 Office

From: Parker, Robert
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2015 10:10 AM
To: Cirian, Mike; Chalfant, Mark; Wilder, Scott; Peterson, Cynthia
Cc: Ketellapper, Victor; Russo, Rebecca
Subject: Conversation re: CFAC with Virginia Sloan, Sen Tester's office

Hi Team –

I want to let you fill you in on a conversation I had with Virginia Sloan with Sen Tester's Kalispell office yesterday.

She wanted to fill me in on a conversation that took place last week between Sen Tester's State Director, Kalispell field office, DC office and CFAC/Glencore. She relayed to me that CFAC/Glencore will be hosting a Community Liaison Panel next Thursday. Virginia was not sure what the purpose of the panel, but said that she would forward along any information. I suggested that it is a good thing that CFAC/Glencore is engaging with the community.

Virginia mentioned that CFAC/Glencore expressed strong interest to complete an AOC with EPA and CFAC/Glencore felt the ball was in EPA's court. I said that our next steps were to send a notice of liability letter to the PRPs along with a SOW to define the work that needs to be accomplished. She encouraged us to work quickly and I echoed our desire to be as efficient as possible. She asked why they didn't reach agreement with DEQ; I told her that I wasn't familiar with the specifics on why the discussions with DEQ broke down. She asked if the site needed to be finalized on the NPL to complete the work. I said that EPA's overall goal is to see remediation completed, where necessary, and we would anticipate that question coming up as part of the negotiations and we'd evaluate it at the time. She asked if Glencore would need to agree to an AOC by the end of the comment period, June 2nd. I said no, and discussed the earliest opportunity to finalize the site on the NPL is this fall.

Virginia asked about the recent news that Glencore/CFAC contracted with Calbag to remove buildings from the site. I mentioned and she agreed, that building demolition is not the same as environmental remediation. She asked whether or not there would be any oversight of the building demo. I suggested that the Superfund program likely wouldn't be involved in that process, but perhaps there are other programs who would be. Does anyone know whether or not EPA or MDEQ would be involved with the building demolition, in any capacity? I'll also forward this question to Jenny Chambers with DEQ.

She closed by saying that the Senator is still supportive of the Superfund designation, especially since CFAC walked away from the negotiations with DEQ.

We agreed to stay in touch as things progress.

Please let me know if you have any advice regarding my question above or if you have any other questions regarding this conversation.

Thanks,
Rob

Rob Parker, PE
Site Assessment Manager
Environmental Engineer
US EPA, Region 8, Denver
(303) 312-6664